



IPC-4552A

# **Performance Specification for Electroless Nickel/ Immersion Gold (ENIG) Plating for Printed Boards**

Developed by the Plating Processes Subcommittee (4-14) of the  
Fabrication Processes Committee (4-10) of IPC

***Supersedes:***

IPC-4552 with Amendments  
1 & 2 – December 2012  
IPC-4552 – October 2002

Users of this publication are encouraged to participate in the  
development of future revisions.

Contact:

IPC

# Table of Contents

<b>1 SCOPE</b> .....	1	3.1.5.2.1 Instrument Calibration for Phosphorus Content .....	13
1.1 Statement of Scope .....	1	3.1.6 Gold Stripping of Plated Deposits for Evaluation of Hyper-Corrosion .....	13
1.1.1 Feature Size for Thickness Measurement .....	1	3.1.6.1 Recognized Methods for Gold Stripping .....	14
1.2 Description .....	1	3.1.6.1.1 Chemical Stripping Solution Dwell Times and Process Steps .....	14
1.2.1 Electroless Nickel Reducing Agents – Phosphorus Content .....	1	3.1.6.1.2 Evaluation Using SEM .....	14
1.3 Objective .....	1	3.1.6.1.3 Grain Boundary Enhancement vs. Hyper-Corrosion .....	14
1.3.1 Order of Precedence .....	2	3.1.6.1.4 Verification of Hyper-Corrosion .....	14
1.3.1.1 Appendices .....	2	3.2 Performance Functions .....	16
<b>2 APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS</b> .....	2	3.2.1 Shelf Life .....	16
2.1 IPC .....	2	3.2.1.1 Solderability .....	16
2.2 ASTM International (ASTM) .....	2	3.2.1.2 Gold Embrittlement .....	16
2.3 JEDEC .....	2	3.2.2 Aluminum and Copper Wire (Wedge) Bonding .....	17
2.4 Defense Standardization Program .....	2	3.2.2.1 Gold Wire Bonding .....	17
2.5 Telcordia Technologies, Inc. ....	3	3.2.3 Contact Surface .....	17
2.6 International Organization for Standardization (ISO) .....	3	3.2.3.1 Soft Membrane Switches .....	17
2.7 Terms, Definitions and Acronyms .....	3	3.2.3.2 Metallic Dome Contacts .....	17
2.7.1 Metal Turnover (MTO) .....	3	3.2.4 EMI Shielding .....	17
2.7.2 Hyperactive Corrosion Deposit .....	3	3.2.5 Conductive and/or Anisotropic Adhesive Interface (Replacement for Solder) .....	17
2.7.3 Selective Annular Ring Dewetting (SAD) .....	3	3.2.6 Connectors .....	17
<b>3 REQUIREMENTS OF ENIG DEPOSIT</b> .....	4	3.2.6.1 Press Fit Connectors .....	17
3.1 Printed Board Fabrication Supplier Process Requirements .....	5	3.2.6.2 Edge Tab .....	17
3.1.1 General Plating Line Requirements .....	5	3.2.7 Limitations of ENIG .....	17
3.1.1.1 ENIG Deposit Thickness Measurement .....	5	3.2.7.1 Creep Corrosion/Chemical Resistance .....	17
3.1.1.2 Gauge Capability – Gauge R&R Type 1 Study .....	5	3.2.7.2 High Frequency Signal Loss .....	18
3.1.1.3 Collimator Size and Measurement Times .....	10	3.3 Visual .....	18
3.1.1.4 Guard Bands and Lot Conformance Testing .....	10	3.4 Selective Annular Ring Dewetting (SAD) .....	19
3.1.2 ENIG XRF Calibration Standards .....	10	3.5 Finish Thickness .....	20
3.1.3 XRF Zero Offset Acceptability .....	10	3.5.1 Electroless Nickel Thickness .....	20
3.1.4 Process Qualification Measurement Requirements .....	11	3.5.1.1 Electroless Nickel for Rigid Printed Boards .....	20
3.1.5 Measuring Phosphorus Content in an ENIG Deposit .....	12	3.5.1.2 Deposits More Than the Upper Limit .....	20
3.1.5.1 Measurement of % Phosphorous (P) Content in Electroless Nickel (EN) Layers with Energy Dispersive X-Ray Spectroscopy (EDS) .....	12	3.5.1.3 Deposits Less Than the Minimum Thickness Limit .....	20
3.1.5.2 Measurement of % Phosphorous (P) Content in Electroless Nickel (EN) Layers with Energy Dispersive X-Ray Fluorescence (EDXRF) .....	13	3.5.1.4 Electroless Nickel for Flexible Circuits .....	20
		3.5.1.5 Modifications to the Base Structure of the Electroless Nickel Deposits with Flexible Circuits .....	20
		3.5.2 Immersion Gold Thickness .....	21
		3.5.2.1 ENIG – Category B Coating Durability per IPC J-STD-003 .....	21

3.5.3 Exceptions to the Required Thickness Ranges ..... 23

3.5.3.1 Immersion Gold Thicker Than Specification by Design ..... 23

3.5.3.2 Production Conformance Testing ..... 23

3.5.3.3 Production Measurement Exceptions ..... 23

3.5.3.4 Statistical Process Control ..... 23

3.5.3.5 Lot Sizes of Ten Printed Boards or Less ..... 23

3.5.3.6 Production Overruns and/or Inventory Items ..... 24

3.5.3.7 Reworking/Repair of ENIG ..... 24

3.6 Nickel Hyper-Corrosion ..... 24

3.6.1 Evaluation of the ENIG Deposit by Cross Section ..... 24

3.6.1.1 ..... 25

3.6.1.1.1 Normal ENIG Free of Hyper-Corrosion Defects ..... 25

3.6.1.1.2 Acceptable Conditions with Some Evidence of Hyper-Corrosion ..... 25

3.6.1.1.3 Acceptable Conditions with End User Sign-Off/Acceptance ..... 25

3.6.1.1.4 Rejectable Conditions ..... 26

3.6.1.2 Hyper-Corrosion Rating Level Table ..... 26

3.6.2 Additional Non-Failure Analysis Testing to Determine Acceptance or Rejection for Level 2 Hyper-Corrosion ..... 27

3.7 Porosity ..... 28

3.8 Adhesion ..... 28

3.9 Solderability ..... 29

3.9.1 Stressing of the Deposit Prior to Solderability Testing ..... 29

3.9.2 Force Measurement Testing (Wetting Balance Testing) ..... 29

3.10 Cleanliness ..... 29

3.11 Electrolytic Corrosion ..... 29

3.12 Chemical Resistance ..... 29

**4 QUALITY ASSURANCE PROVISIONS ..... 30**

4.1 General Quality Assurance Provisions ..... 30

4.1.1 Qualification Recommendations ..... 30

4.1.2 Sample Test Coupons ..... 30

4.2 Quality Conformance Testing ..... 30

4.2.1 Frequency of Thickness Measurements ..... 30

4.2.2 Established Qualified Process ..... 30

**APPENDIX 1 Terms and Definitions Not Currently in IPC-T-50 ..... 33**

**APPENDIX 2 ENIG Process Sequence ..... 34**

**APPENDIX 3 XRF Thickness Measurements of Thin Au (ENIG): Recommendations for Instrumentation (Detectors) and Their Limitations ..... 35**

**APPENDIX 4 ENIG PWB Surface Finish Wetting Balance Testing ..... 37**

**APPENDIX 5 IPC 4-14 SC ENIG Round Robin Solder Spread Testing ..... 55**

**APPENDIX 6 Wire Bonding to ENIG ..... 61**

**APPENDIX 7 Through Hole Solderability Testing ..... 62**

**APPENDIX 8 Evaluation of Electroless Nickel Corrosion Due to Immersion Gold Plating, Using 3000X Magnification After Gold Stripping ..... 77**

**APPENDIX 9-A Cyanide Gold Stripping for ENIG ..... 82**

**APPENDIX 9-B Test Method for Potassium Iodide/Iodine (Non-Cyanide) ENIG Gold Stripping Procedure ..... 83**

**APPENDIX 9-C Method for Stripping Gold Plating from ENIG Finished PCBs by Broad Beam Argon Ion Milling ..... 85**

**APPENDIX 10 Determination of Thickness and Phosphorus Content in Electroless Nickel (EN) Layers X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) Spectrometry [IPC-TM-650, Method 2.3.44] ..... 90**

**APPENDIX 11 Phosphorus Content Measurement in ENIG Using Electron Dispersive Spectroscopy EDS – Initial Testing ..... 97**

**APPENDIX 12 Standard Developments Efforts of Electroless Nickel Immersion Gold ..... 102**

**APPENDIX 13 Using Guard Bands or a Gauge Correction Factor to Accommodate Type 1 Gauge Study Measurement Uncertainty ..... 102**

**Figures**

Figure A Example of Repeat Measurement Data from Three Different XRF Tools ..... 6

Figure B Graphical and Statistical Evaluation of Data from XRF Tool # 1 ..... 7

Figure C Graphical and Statistical Evaluation of Data from XRF Tool # 2 ..... 8

Figure D Graphical and Statistical Evaluation of Data from XRF Tool # 3 ..... 9

Figure 3-1 Potassium Iodide/Iodine (KI / I<sub>2</sub>) at 15 sec. dwell (left) vs. 60 sec. dwell (right) ..... 14

Figure 3-2	Cyanide-Based Stripping at 15 sec. dwell (left) vs. 60 sec. dwell (right) .....	15	Figure A4-6	Example of Excellent and Consistent Wetting From Sample Group # 4 Tested as Received with SAC305 and Test Flux # 2 (this is a 1.6 $\mu$ m at - 4 $\sigma$ I Au thickness sample) .....	41
Figure 3-3	Cyanide Stripping (left) vs. KI/I <sub>2</sub> Stripping (right) Using Focused Ion Beam (FIB) .....	15	Figure A4-7	Wetting Curves From Sample # 8 Tested as Received Showing Again Excellent Wetting Consistency Intra Group (this is one of the control thickness samples) .....	41
Figure 3-4	25,000X FIB Images – Cyanide (left) vs. KI / I <sub>2</sub> (right) – Same Dwell Time .....	15	Figure A4-8	Example of Some Very Inconsistent Wetting Seen with Sample Group 11, Again Tested As Received with No Stressing (this group was later identified to have had a plating issue and was withdrawn from further consideration for this specification revision effort) .....	42
Figure 3-5	Ion Mill Stripping of Defect-Free Nickel (left) vs. Hyper-Corroded Nickel (right) .....	16	Figure A4-9	Post 2X Reflows Using a SnPb Profile and SnPb Solder for Testing (good robustness) ..	42
Figure 3-6	Uniform Plating .....	18	Figure A4-10	Post 2X Reflows Using a Hotter Pb-Free Profile but Testing with SnPb Solder (FAIL) .....	43
Figure 3-7	Extraneous Plating or Nickel Foot .....	18	Figure A4-11	Post 8 Hours Exposure to 72 °C/85% R.H. (excellent robustness) .....	43
Figure 3-8	Skip Plating (No Ni plating) .....	18	Figure A4-12	Post 2X Exposures to a SnPb Profile Then Tested With SnPb (excellent robustness) ....	44
Figure 3-9	Edge Pull Back .....	19	Figure A4-13	Post 2X Exposures to a Pb-Free Profile and Tested with SnPb (shows some increased spread in the data but overall, very good robustness compared to the failures seen in Figure A4-7) .....	44
Figure 3-10	Example of SAD (Selective Annular ring Dewetting) .....	20	Figure A4-14	Post 8 hours @ 72 °C/85% R.H. (excellent robustness) .....	45
Figure 3-11	Another Example of the SAD Defect .....	20	Figure A4-15	Another Sample Group Post 2X Exposures to a SnPb Profile Then Tested with SnPb (slower rate of rise indicating some reducible oxide layers present with good robustness) .....	45
Figure 3-12	Selective Annular Ring Dewetting Defect .....	20	Figure A4-16	Post 2X Pb-Free Reflow Profile Exposure (good robustness) .....	46
Figure 3-13	Grain Structure of Conventional Nickel Deposit .....	21	Figure A4-17	Post 8 hours @ 72 °C/85% R.H. (excellent robustness) .....	46
Figure 3-14	Grain Structure of Nickel Deposit Modified for Dynamic Flex Applications .....	21	Figure A4-18	Post 2X SnPb Profile Exposure (good wetting times, increased spread in the data) .....	47
Figure 3-15	Example of Fracture in Conventional Nickel Deposit .....	21	Figure A4-19	Post 2X Pb-Free Profile Exposure (increasing spread in the data and some failures) .....	47
Figure 3-16	Modified Nickel Deposit of Cycles Showing No Fracture with Same Number .....	21	Figure A4-20	Post 8 Hours @ 72 °C/85% R.H. (excellent robustness) .....	48
Figure 3-17	Defect Free ENIG Deposit – Knee of Hole ...	25	Figure A4-21	Post 2X SnPb Reflow Exposures (excellent robustness) .....	48
Figure 3-18	Defect Free ENIG Deposit – SMT Feature ...	25	Figure A4-22	Post 2X Pb-Free Reflow Exposures (one outlier but overall, excellent robustness) .....	49
Figure 3-19	Level 1 Hyper-Corrosion – SMT Feature .....	25	Figure A4-23	Post 8 Hours @ 72 °C/85% R.H. (excellent robustness) .....	49
Figure 3-20	Level 1 Hyper-Corrosion – Knee of Hole .....	25	Figure A4-24	Post 2X SnPb Reflow Exposures From Another Supplier (excellent robustness) .....	50
Figure 3-21	Level 2 Hyper-Corrosion – Knee of Hole .....	25	Figure A4-25	Post 2X Pb-Free Reflow Exposures From Another Supplier (excellent robustness) .....	50
Figure 3-22	Level 2 Hyper-Corrosion – SMT Feature .....	25			
Figure 3-23	Level 3 Hyper-Corrosion – Knee of Hole .....	26			
Figure 3-24	Level 3 Hyper-Corrosion – SMT Feature .....	26			
Figure 3-25	Example of a Crack in the Nickel Deposit ....	26			
Figure 3-26	Decision Tree to Assist in Setting Rating Level of Hyper-Corrosion .....	27			
Figure 3-27	Example of an Acceptable Contiguous IMC Layer 1000X .....	28			
Figure 3-28	Example of a Rejectable Non-Contiguous IMC Layer 1000X .....	28			
Figure 3-29	Example – Rejectable with Little-to-No IMC Formation 1000X .....	28			
Figure 3-30	Example – Rejectable with Little-to-No IMC Formation 1000X .....	28			
Figure 3-31	Standard IPC Force Measurement Coupon .	29			
Figure A4-1	Example of the Wetting Balance Coupon Used for the Testing of ENIG .....	38			
Figure A4-2	Box Plot of the 1 $\mu$ m Samples From All Suppliers .....	39			
Figure A4-3	Box Plot of the 1.5 $\mu$ m Samples From All Suppliers .....	39			
Figure A4-4	Box Plot of the 2.0 $\mu$ m Samples From All Suppliers .....	40			
Figure A4-5	The Metronelec ST88 Wetting Balance Used for the Testing .....	40			

Figure A4-26	Post 8 Hours @ 72 °C/85% R.H. (some increased wetting times but overall excellent robustness) .....	51	Figure A7-5	Hole Fill Evaluation of the Non-Stressed Samples Tested Using Eutectic SnPb Solder .....	65
Figure A4-27	Post 2X SnPb Reflow Exposures From Another Supplier (excellent robustness) .....	51	Figure A7-6	The Impact of 2X Reflows on Samples Tested Subsequently with Eutectic SnPb Solder .....	66
Figure A4-28	Post 2X Pb-Free Reflow Exposures From Another Supplier (excellent robustness) .....	52	Figure A7-7	Impact on Hole Fill Defects When Samples Experience 2X Reflows + 8 Hours of 72 °C 85% R.H. ....	67
Figure A4-29	Post 8 Hours @ 72 °C/85% R.H. (excellent robustness) .....	52	Figure A7-8	Example of Complete Hole Fill and the Level of Inner Layer Interconnects from One Row of a Single Test Coupon from a Sample Tested with No Stressing with SnPb Solder .....	68
Figure A4-30	Post 2X SnPb Reflow Exposures From Another Supplier (increase in rate of rise time but overall excellent robustness) .....	53	Figure A7-9	Example of the Impact of Stressing on the Hole Fill Using 2X SnPb Reflows and Tested With SnPb Solder, the I Au Thickness was 1.71 μm" .....	69
Figure A4-31	Post 2X Pb-Free Reflow Exposures From Another Supplier (increase in rate of rise time but again overall excellent robustness) .....	53	Figure A7-10	Example of the Impact of Stressing on the Hole Fill Using 2X SnPb Reflows + 8 Hours of 72 °C/85% R.H. and Tested with SnPb Solder, the I Au Thickness was 2.96 μm" .....	70
Figure A4-32	Post 8 Hours @ 72 °C/85% R.H. (increased spread in the data but overall excellent robustness) .....	54	Figure A7-11	The Hole Fill Defects Seen with SAC305 Solder on Non-Stressed Samples .....	71
Figure A5-F-1	Flowchart for Process .....	56	Figure A7-12	The Impact of 2X Reflow Stressing on Samples Subsequently Soldered with SAC305 Solder .....	72
Figure A5-1	Image of the Solder Spread Test Vehicle Used by IPC for This Testing .....	56	Figure A7-13	The Impact on Hole Fill Defects When the Samples Experience 2X Reflows + 8 Hours of 72 °C 85% R.H. ....	73
Figure A5-2	Description of the Solder Spread Measurement Using the IPC Solder Spread Coupon .....	56	Figure A7-14	Example of the Impact of Stressing on the Hole Fill Using 2X Pb-Free Reflows and Tested with SAC305 Solder; the I Au Thickness was 1.77 μm" .....	74
Figure A5-3	PCB Solder Spread Versus Au Thickness for 4 ENIG Suppliers Using Pb-Free SAC Solder Paste .....	57	Figure A7-15	Example of the Impact of Stressing on the Hole Fill Using 2X Pb-Free Reflows + 8 Hours of 72 °C / 85% R.H. and Tested with SAC305 Solder; the I Au Thickness was 2.37 μm" .....	75
Figure A5-4	Interaction Plot and Regression Analysis for Parameters Influencing Solder Spread on ENIG-Finished Pcb's in This Study .....	58	Figure A7-16	Summary Graph on Hole Fill Defects as a Function of Stressing Pre-Testing .....	76
Figure A5-5	Back-Scattered SEM Image of a Solder Spread Dot From an OSP Board With a Solder Spread Value of 21%. ....	58	Figure A8-1	SEM/EDS/FIB Equipment Photo .....	77
Figure A5-6	Solder Spread Coupons Soldered With Pb-Free SAC Solder After 8 Hours Exposure to 72 °C, 85% R.H. Left - thinnest gold sample from supplier #2 with 34% average spread, Right - thinnest gold sample from supplier #3 with 21% average spread. ....	59	Figure A8-2	Sample VI (3000X) .....	78
Figure A5-7	Maximum Solder Deposit Line Count (1-18) for all Boards [4 ENIG Suppliers] in the DOE Soldered With Pb-Free SAC Solder (left) and SnPb Eutectic Solder (right) Pastes. Data in the red region indicate areas with almost no spread and would likely show dewetting under inspection. Dewetting is a concern in the yellow region. ....	59	Figure A8-3	Potassium Iodide/Iodine Gold Stripped EDAX Spectrum .....	78
Figure A7-1	An Example of a Test Vehicle and an Individual 20 – Hole Coupon That Is Showing Various Degrees of Hole Fill .....	63	Figure A8-4	FIB-SEM Cross Section 10,000X .....	79
Figure A7-2	Example of the Coupon Internal Construction, Copper Weight and Interconnect Method to Inner Layers as Applicable – This is for the J1 Coupon .....	63	Figure 8A-5	Sample VI, 3000X .....	79
Figure A7-3	XRF Measurements From the Fiducials of the 30 Test Vehicles and the Stressing Methods for Each Sample .....	64	Figure A8-6	Cyanide Gold Stripped EDAX Spectrum .....	79
Figure A7-4	The Wave Soldering Parameters Used at Adtran for Processing of the Test Vehicles ...	65	Figure A8-7	Cyanide Gold Stripped EDAX Spectrum .....	80
			Figure A8-8	FIB-SEM Cross Section (10,000X) .....	80
			Figure A8-9	Sample VI, 10,000X .....	80
			Figure A8-10	Sample VI, 10,000X .....	81
			Figure A9-C-A	Broad Beam Argon Ion Mill .....	85
			Figure A9-C-1	SEM Micrograph (BEI) of As-Finished ENIG Surface Prior to Broad Beam Ion Milling. ....	89



---

Table A4-2	1.5 $\mu\text{in}$ Minimum at $-4 \sigma$ From the Mean Sample (only one supplier met the goal) .....	38
Table A4-3	2.0 $\mu\text{in}$ Minimum at $-4 \sigma$ From the Mean (Control Sample) (only one supplier met goal) .....	38
Table A5-1	Au Thicknesses Measured by XRF for the PCBs Used for Solder Spread Testing From Appendix G3 .....	55
Table A5-2	Description of Test Matrix for Solder Spread Evaluation .....	55
Table A5-3	Average Solder Spread Result for Each Combination of Solder Paste, Au Thickness and PCB Conditioning .....	57
Table A6-1	Average Thicknesses of Immersion Gold .....	61
Table A6-2	Pull Values for 1 mil Aluminum Wire Bonds .....	61
Table A6-3	Pull Values for 10 mil Aluminum Wire Bonds .....	61
Table A6-4	Pull Values for 1 mil Copper Wire Bonds .....	61
Table A10-1	Typical Achievable Results for NiP/Cu/PCB Samples (1mm Collimator; Measuring Time 120 s) .....	92
Table A10-2	Evaluation of 5 Readings (Repeatability Conditions) of the Sample in Figure A10-6 ...	93
Table A13-1	Guard Band Sigma Recommendation .....	121
Table A13-2	Guard Band Sigma Recommendation .....	124

# Performance Specification for Electroless Nickel/ Immersion Gold (ENIG) Plating for Printed Boards

## 1 SCOPE

**1.1 Statement of Scope** This performance specification sets requirements for Electroless Nickel/Immersion Gold (ENIG) deposit thicknesses for applications including soldering, wire bonding and as a contact finish. It is intended for use by chemical suppliers, printed board manufacturers, electronics manufacturing services (EMS) and original equipment manufacturers (OEM). This standard may be used to specify acceptance criteria to meet performance requirements in addition to those found in the IPC-6010-FAM: Printed Board Performance Specifications. The ENIG deposit specified by using this document will meet the highest coating durability rating as specified in the J-STD-003 printed board solderability specification.

This specification is based on three critical factors:

1. The ENIG plating process is in control producing a normal distribution for nickel and gold deposit thickness.
2. That the tool used to measure the deposit and therefore control the process is accurate and reproducible for the thickness range specified.
3. That the ENIG plating process results in uniform deposit characteristics.

If any of these three critical factors are not met, then the deposit produced will not meet the performance criteria defined herein.

**1.1.1 Feature Size for Thickness Measurement** This performance specification has been generated based on a deposit thickness measured ONLY on feature sizes of 1.5 mm x 1.5 mm [0.060 in x 0.060 in] or equivalent area ( $\pm 10\%$ ). Measurement of non-standard feature sizes and/or a combination of different feature sizes will prevent compliance to the statistical requirements of this specification. Requirements to measure non-standard sized features is AABUS and the supplier of the printed board is not responsible for the performance of the deposit as specified in this document.

**1.2 Description** ENIG is an electroless nickel layer capped with a thin layer of immersion gold. It is a multifunctional surface finish, applicable to soldering, aluminum and copper wedge wire bonding, press fit connections, and as a contact surface. The immersion gold layer protects the underlying nickel from oxidation/passivation over its intended life. However, this layer is not impervious and it will not pass the requirements of a “classic” porosity test as defined in ASTM B 735 & IPC-TM-650, Methods 2.3.24, 2.3.24.1 and 2.3.24.2).

**1.2.1 Electroless Nickel Reducing Agents – Phosphorus Content** Phosphorus-containing, reducing agents are used for the reduction of the electroless nickel during the deposition process and phosphorus is incorporated in the nickel deposit. Currently there are two levels of phosphorus found in electroless nickel deposits used for ENIG:

1. A mid-phosphorus nickel with phosphorus levels ranging from 5 wt. % to 10 wt. %
2. A high phosphorus nickel with phosphorus levels greater than 10.0 wt. %.

The level of this co-deposited element should be controlled within the supplier’s specified process limits. Variation of phosphorus levels outside the specified process limits may have adverse effects on the performance of the finish and/or potentially increase the occurrence and/or severity of hyper-corrosion.

The phosphorus content within the interfacial region between the electroless nickel and immersion gold layers is higher than that found in the bulk deposit. After soldering, the phosphorus levels at the interface between solder and the electroless nickel will always be higher than that found on a non-soldered pad, as the tin in the solder preferentially reacts with the nickel, leaving a phosphorus-rich layer behind. This is a NORMAL and expected occurrence.

**1.3 Objective** This specification sets the requirements specific to ENIG as a surface finish (see Table 3-1 for a summary of these requirements). As other finishes require specifications, they will be addressed by the IPC Plating Processes Subcommittee as part of the IPC-455X specification family. As this and other applicable specifications are under continuous review, the subcommittee will add appropriate amendments and make necessary revisions to these documents. The 4-14